

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/909,876	07/23/2001	Bernhard Scheuble	MERCK-1342 D1	5038	
23599 7	590 10/22/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	HITE, ZELANO & B	DUONG	DUONG, TAI V		
2200 CLAREN	IDON BLVD.				
SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201		2871		

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/909,876	;	SCHEUBLE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Tai Duong		2871	AN			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no even y within the statut will apply and will s, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the ation to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this cor (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ju</u>	uly 2004.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is no	n-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 15-33 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 15-33 are subject to restriction	wn from con	·					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		_					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	•	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/909,876

Art Unit: 2871

Election/Restrictions

Upon reconsideration, the election requirement mailed on 06/03/04 is withdrawn in view of the new election requirement. Due to oversight, the examiner has not raised the issue of the Markush-type claims 1 and 15.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Independent claims 1 and 15 are Markush-type generic claims because they recite "the liquid crystal having a parallel edge alignment or a homeotropic edge alignment". Applicant is required to elect a single species, i.e. the liquid crystal having a parallel edge alignment or a homeotropic edge alignment (M.P.E.P. 803.02).

A: claims 16, 18-27, 29 and 30 drawn to an electrooptical system wherein the liquid crystal has a *parallel* edge alignment.

B: claims 32 and 33 drawn to an electrooptical system wherein the liquid crystal has a *homeotropic* edge alignment.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 15, 17, 28 and 31 are generic. It is noted that claims 1 and 15 will be examined and considered only with the elected feature (species), parallel or homeotropic. If the elected feature (species) is allowable, the non-elected feature (species) of claims 1 and 15 will be further examined and considered.

Application/Control Number: 09/909,876 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Tai Duong at telephone number (571) 272-2291.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

TVD

10/04

LAMES DUDEK PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 4